

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CARLOS ESPINOZA-ESPEROZA

Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Case No. 2:07-CR-207(1)

JUDGE ALGENON L. MARBLEY

ORDER

On December 18, 2007, the Magistrate Judge issued a *Report and Recommendation* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) urging the Court to accept Defendant Carlos Espinoza-Esperoza's guilty plea. Defendant, represented by counsel, waived his right to appear on the matter before a District Judge. The Magistrate Judge conducted the colloquy required by Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(b). Defendant's plea was knowing, voluntary, free from coercion, and had a basis in fact. Although Defendant was specifically informed of his right to contest the *Report and Recommendation* and the consequences of his failure to do so, he did not object. Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the *Report and Recommendation* and **ACCEPTS** Defendant's plea of guilty to count one of the indictment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Algenon L. Marbley
ALGENON L. MARBLEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Dated: February 13, 2008

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GUILLERMO VELASQUEZ TORRES

Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Case No. 2:07-CR-207(2)

JUDGE ALGENON L. MARBLEY

ORDER

On December 18, 2007, the Magistrate Judge issued a *Report and Recommendation* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) urging the Court to accept Defendant Guillermo Velasquez Torres's guilty plea. Defendant, represented by counsel, waived his right to appear on the matter before a District Judge. The Magistrate Judge conducted the colloquy required by Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(b). Defendant's plea was knowing, voluntary, free from coercion, and had a basis in fact. Although Defendant was specifically informed of his right to contest the *Report and Recommendation* and the consequences of his failure to do so, he did not object. Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the *Report and Recommendation* and **ACCEPTS** Defendant's plea of guilty to count one of the indictment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Algenon L. Marbley
ALGENON L. MARBLEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Dated: February 13, 2008

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

RAUL HUERTA-CASTANEDA

Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Case No. 2:07-CR-207(3)

JUDGE ALGENON L. MARBLEY

ORDER

On December 18, 2007, the Magistrate Judge issued a *Report and Recommendation* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) urging the Court to accept Defendant Raul Huerta-Castaneda's guilty plea. Defendant, represented by counsel, waived his right to appear on the matter before a District Judge. The Magistrate Judge conducted the colloquy required by Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(b). Defendant's plea was knowing, voluntary, free from coercion, and had a basis in fact. Although Defendant was specifically informed of his right to contest the *Report and Recommendation* and the consequences of his failure to do so, he did not object. Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the *Report and Recommendation* and **ACCEPTS** Defendant's plea of guilty to count one of the indictment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Algenon L. Marbley
ALGENON L. MARBLEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Dated: February 13, 2008

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NOE PEREZ-LOPEZ

Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Case No. 2:07-CR-207(4)

JUDGE ALGENON L. MARBLEY

ORDER

On December 18, 2007, the Magistrate Judge issued a *Report and Recommendation* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) urging the Court to accept Defendant Noe Perez-Lopez's guilty plea. Defendant, represented by counsel, waived his right to appear on the matter before a District Judge. The Magistrate Judge conducted the colloquy required by Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(b). Defendant's plea was knowing, voluntary, free from coercion, and had a basis in fact. Although Defendant was specifically informed of his right to contest the *Report and Recommendation* and the consequences of his failure to do so, he did not object. Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the *Report and Recommendation* and **ACCEPTS** Defendant's plea of guilty to count one of the indictment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Algenon L. Marbley
ALGENON L. MARBLEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Dated: February 13, 2008